


BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

KENNETH E. MEDEMA, JR.	)	
	)	
Complainant,	)	
	)	
v.	)	PCB No. 05-220
	)	(Enforcement Noise)
TNT LOGISTICS	)	
NORTH AMERICA, INC.,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

NOTICE OF FILING

TO:		
Ms. Dorothy M. Gunn	Bradley P. Halloran, Esq.	Edward W. Dwyer
Clerk of the Board	Hearing Officer	Thomas G. Satley
Illinois Pollution Control	Illinois Pollution Control	HODGE DWYER
Board	Board	ZEMAN
100 West Randolph St.	100 West Randolph St.	3150 Roland Ave.
Suite 11-500	Suite 11-500	P.O. Box 5776
Chicago, Il. 60601	Chicago, Il. 60601	Springfield, Il. 62705

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on October 27, 2005, NOLAN LAW OFFICE will file with the Office of the Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board the attached **Complainant Kenneth E. Medema Jr.'s Answers to Affirmative Defenses** copies of which are attached hereto and hereby served upon you.




\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Complainant

Timothy M. Nolan, Mary A. Sullivan  
NOLAN LAW OFFICE  
Attorneys for Defendants  
53 West Jackson Blvd., Suite 1137  
Chicago, Il. 60604-3702  
(312) 322-1100; Fax (312) 322-1106

PROOF OF SERVICE

The undersigned attorney certifies that this notice is served by mailing a copy to each person to whom it is directed, by placing a copy of said document in an envelope properly addressed to each person above with postage prepaid and depositing same in the U.S. Mail at 53 W. Jackson Blvd., Chicago, Illinois on October 27, 2005.



\_\_\_\_\_

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

KENNETH E. MEDEMA, JR.	)	
	)	
Complainant,	)	
	)	
v.	)	PCB No. 05-220
	)	(Enforcement Noise)
TNT LOGISTICS	)	
NORTH AMERICA, INC.,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

ANSWERS TO AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

NOW COMES the Complainant, KENNETH E. MEDEMA, JR., by and through his attorneys, NOLAN LAW OFFICE, and answering Respondent's Affirmative Defenses, states as follows:

1. TNT operates the Facility in order to warehouse and distribute tires.

ANSWER: Complainant admits the allegations set forth in Respondent's Affirmative Defense No. 1. In further answering, Complainant states the affirmative matter asserted herein, by itself or in conjunction with the other affirmative matter set forth by Respondent, is not legally sufficient to constitute a defense herein.

2. Trucks deliver trailers of tires to the Facility.

ANSWER: Complainant admits the allegations set forth in Respondent's Affirmative Defense No. 2. In further answering, Complainant states the affirmative matter asserted herein, by itself or in conjunction with the other affirmative matter set forth by Respondent, is not legally sufficient to constitute a defense herein.

3. TNT does not own or operate these trucks.

ANSWER: Complainant lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations set forth in Respondent's Affirmative Defense No. 3. Notwithstanding, Complainant states the affirmative matter asserted herein, by itself or in conjunction with the other affirmative matter set forth by Respondent, is not legally sufficient to constitute a defense herein. In addition, Complainant states affirmatively that Respondent controls and directs the use and operation of all trucks at and around its facility.

ANSWER: Complainant lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations set forth in Respondent's Affirmative Defense No. 3. Notwithstanding, Complainant states the affirmative matter asserted herein, by itself or in conjunction with the other affirmative matter set forth by Respondent, is not legally sufficient to constitute a defense herein. In addition, Complainant states affirmatively that Respondent controls and directs the use and operation of all trucks at and around its facility.

4. Trucks also transport trailers of tires from the Facility.

ANSWER: Complainant admits the allegations set forth in Respondent's Affirmative Defense No. 4. Notwithstanding, Complainant states the affirmative matter asserted herein, by itself or in conjunction with the other affirmative matter set forth by Respondent, is not legally sufficient to constitute a defense herein.

5. TNT does not own or operate these trucks.

ANSWER: Complainant lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations set forth in Respondent's Affirmative Defense No. 5. Notwithstanding, Complainant states the affirmative matter asserted herein, by itself or in conjunction with the other affirmative matter set forth by Respondent, is not legally sufficient to constitute a defense herein. In addition, Complainant states affirmatively that Respondent controls and directs the use and operation of all trucks at and around its facility.

6. Complainants in part appear to allege that noise from these trucks, which TNT does not own or operate, has, at Complainant's property, violated the numeric noise limitations cited by Complainants in Paragraph 5 of their Complaint.

ANSWER: Complainant stands on the allegations of its Complaint and the provisions of the Title 35 of the Illinois Administrative Code cited therein and further states that Respondent's Affirmative Defense No. 6 provides no legally sufficient defense thereto.

7. TNT has no evidence that this is the case.

ANSWER: Complainant denies the allegations set forth in Respondent's Affirmative Defense No. 7.

8. However, if this is the case, such alleged violations relating to trucks which TNT does not own or operate do not constitute violations of the numeric noise limitations by TNT.

ANSWER: Complainant denies the allegations set forth in Respondent's Affirmative Defense No. 8 and further states that relevant provisions of Title 35 of the Illinois Administrative Code mandate noise level limitations from any property-line-noise-source located on any Class A, B or C land to any receiving Class A land. "Property-line-noise-source" is defined at 35 Ill. Adm. Code 900.101 as "any equipment or facility, or combination thereof, which operates within any land used as specified by 35 Ill. Adm. Code 900.101", and no exception is made based on the ownership of the equipment which operates within the land.

Respectfully submitted,

NOLAN LAW OFFICE



Attorney for Complainant  
KENNETH E. MEDEMA, JR.

Timothy M. Nolan  
Mary Ann Sullivan  
NOLAN LAW OFFICE  
Attorneys for Defendants  
53 West Jackson Blvd., Suite 1137  
Chicago, IL 60604-3702  
(312) 322-1100; Fax (312) 322-1106